1	TIMOTHY H. BELLAS, LLC	
2	Attorney-at-Law JET Realty Bldg., Suite 204	FILED
3	P.O. Box 502845	District Court
4	Saipan, MP 96950 Email: timothy@bellaslawfirm.com	OCT 2 7 2017
5	Telephone: (670) 323-2115	for the Northern Mariana Islands
6	•	By(Deputy Clerk)
7	ATTORNEY FOR: Plaintiff	
8		
9		DISTRICT COURT
0 1		R THE ARIANA ISLANDS
11		
12	STAR MARIANAS AIR, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-00012
13		PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
14	Plaintiff, v.	AMENDED COMPLAINT
15	COMMONWEALTH PORTS	BREACH OF CONTRACT
16	AUTHORITY, AND DOES I-V,	2. VIOLATION OF ANTI HEAD TAX
17	Defendants.	ACT
18		
19		
20	Plaintiff, STAR MARIANAS AIR, IN	C. ("Star Marianas"), by and through undersigned
21	counsel, sues Defendants, COMMONWEALT	TH PORTS AUTHORITY (the "Authority") of the
22	Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islan	nds ("CNMI") and DOES I-V, and in support thereof
23	alleges as follows:	
24	PARTIES, JURISDI	ICTION, AND VENUE
25	<ol> <li>At all times material hereto, Sta</li> </ol>	ar Marianas is and was a CNMI corporation with its
26		•
27	principal place of business in the CNMI that tr	cansacts substantial dusiness in the CNMI.
28		

1	2.	At all times material hereto, the Authority is and was an autonomous CNMI
2	corporation cr	eated by CNMI, P.L. 2-48. The Authority is tasked with the operation and control of
3	the CNMI airp	oorts and seaports with the legislatively mandated goal that "air and sea navigation and
4	transportation	within and to and from the Commonwealth must be developed to their fullest
5	potential." 2 C	CMC § 2111(a).
6	3.	The Authority is the "sponsor" for the airports in the CNMI within the meaning of
7	14 C.F.R. § 16	i.3 because it receives federal financial funds.
8	4.	Star Marianas is without knowledge of the true names and capacities of the
9	defendants D	
10		OES 1 through V, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious
11	names. Star M	farianas will amend to allege their true names and capacities when it ascertains them.
12	5.	This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this matter
13	arises under fe	ederal law; specifically, 49 U.S.C. § 40116(E)(2), the Anti-Head Tax Act (the "AHTA").
14 15	6.	This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Star Marianas's state law claims
15 16	pursuant to 2	8 U.S.C. §1367 because these claims are intricately related to Star Marianas's federal
17	claim under th	ne AHTA and form part of the same case or controversy as that claim.
18	7.	Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern Marianas Islands
19	under 28 U.S.	C.A. § 1391, as the Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties and the underlying
20		that form this action occurred in the CNMI.
21		GENERAL ALLEGATIONS
22	4	
23	A.	The Authority is required to apply reasonable charges related to its operational costs.
24	8.	The CNMI consists of several islands in the northwestern Pacific Ocean.
25	9.	Airports are therefore essential facilities in the CNMI because the only feasible mode
26	of transporta	tion is by air.

10.

1

The Authority is vested with tremendous economic power and leverage based on its

control and ex	sclusive bargaining position of the airports in the CNMI.
11.	Such economic power, if not properly checked, can adversely impact the profitable
and efficient of	operation of airlines in the CNMI.
12.	Under 49 U.S.C. § 47107, the Authority is required to make the airports and their
associated faci	ilities available to the public and airport users, including Star Marianas, under reasonable
conditions and	d without unjust discrimination.
13.	Pursuant to its exclusive authority over the CNMI airports, the Authority receives
federal fundin	g in the form of grants.
14.	Because it accepts federal funding grants, the Authority is required to operate airports
under its exclu	usive control "for the use and benefit of the public, on fair and reasonable terms, and
without unjus	t discrimination." 14 C.F.R. Part 152, App. D § II.20.
15.	The law also provides that airport rates and charges imposed on aeronautical users for
aeronautical u	use of the airport must be fair, reasonable, and may not unjustly discriminate against
aeronautical u	sers. FAA, Policy Regarding Airport Rates and Charges, 78 Fed. Reg. 55330, at § 2 and § 3
	B) ("Rates & Charges Policy"). A true and correct copy of the Rates & Charges Policy is
	to as Exhibit "A".
16.	The use of charges is limited to collecting revenues for the airport's costs because
accumulation	of revenues for profit is not consistent with the airport proprietor's obligation to make the
	ole on fair and reasonable terms. See Exhibit "A" at 55335, § 4.2.1.
	Importantly, airport proprietors must charge rates in a manner that is transparent. See
	at 55335, § 3.4 ("Allowable costs – costs properly included in the rate base – must be
	eronautical users by a transparent, reasonable, and not unjustly discriminatory rate-setting
methodology	~~).
	and efficient of 12.  associated facion of 13.  federal funding 14.  under its exclusive without unjust 15.  aeronautical under 15.  aeronautical under 16.  accumulation airport availalunt 17.  Exhibit "A"

1	18.	Under the Anti Head Tax Act ("AHTA"), a state actor, including a port authority in
2	exclusive cont	rol of an airport, may not levy or collect a tax, fee, head charge, or other charge on an
3	individual trav	eling in air commerce. 49 U.S.C. § 40116(b).
4	19.	In passing the AHTA, Congress intended to prevent the undue burden on interstate
5	commerce an	d the economic harm to airlines and passengers that would result if state or local
6		were allowed to raise general revenues on the back of commercial aviation through
7 8		The AHTA also ensures that the federal grants to airports are used to supplement
9		rport projects and are not simply used to substitute funds diverted to support local non-
10	airport progra	ms.
11	20.	However, the Authority is operating airports in the CNMI for its own benefit, contrary
12	to the traveling	g public and the aeronautical users of the airport, by imposing excessive, unreasonable,
13		atory charges, including head taxes, in order to generate surpluses for non-aeronautical
14	operations.	y same of generate outpluses for non-actorization
15	В.	The Authority contracts with Star Marianas for operations at CNMI airports.
16	21.	_
17		Star Marianas is a U.S. licensed air carrier operating commercial flights for
18	transportation	n of passengers and cargo between the islands of Tinian, Rota, Saipan, and Guam.
19	22.	Star Marianas is classified as an aeronautical user of the Saipan International Airport (the
20	"Airport").	
21	23.	Star Marianas operates its air carrier services from a smaller, separate terminal at the
22	Airport in Sai	oan and from the only terminals in Tinian and Rota (collectively referred to hereinafter as
23		ter Terminals").
24 25	24.	The Authority has promulgated Airport Rules and Regulations (the "ARR") for use of
26	the Airport an	ad Commuter Terminals. A true and correct copy of the ARR is attached hereto as Exhibit

"B".

1	25.	Paragraph 7.9 of the ARR states that no air carrier "shall utilize any terminal facility
2	owned or ope	rated by the Authority unless such air carrier shall have entered into a written Airline
3	Use/Operatin	g Agreement with the Authority." See Exhibit "B".
4	26.	On April 24, 2009, Star Marianas executed the required Airline Use Agreement (the
5	"AUA") with	the Authority, who executed the AUA on April 27, 2009. A true and correct copy of the
6		ned hereto as Exhibit "C".
7	27.	Article 1 of the AUA contains the definitions of the various terms used therein. See
8	Exhibit "C".	
10	28.	The AUA defines Star Marianas as an "Airline". See Exhibit "C".
11	29.	Article 2 of the AUA describes the amount of space the Authority leases to Star
12	Marianas. See	
13	30.	The AUA designates areas for different purposes, including: 1) Preferential Use
14	Premises ("PU	JP"); 2) Common Use Premises ("CUP"); and, 3) Non-Exclusive Use Premises ("NUP").
15	See Exhibit "C	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
16	31.	Star Marianas uses 344 square feet of DI ID at the Saintage Community
17		Star Marianas uses 344 square feet of PUP at the Saipan Commuter Terminal and 233
18 19		PUP at the Tinian Commuter Terminal. See Exhibit "C".
20	32.	Article 7 of the AUA details the various charges and fees that Star Marianas pays to the
21	Authority. See	
22	33.	For example, Section 7.01 specifies the charges Star Marianas pays for the use of space
23	defined in Sec	ctions 2.01, 202, and 2.03 of the AUA by citing to the applicable rates in paragraphs 12.1
24	and 12.3 of th	ne ARR. See Exhibit "C".
25	34.	Paragraph 12.3 provides a departure facility charge per passenger of \$4.95 for the
26	Tinian and Ro	ota Commuter Terminals and \$3.35 for the Saipan Commuter Terminal. See Exhibit "B".
27		

1	35.	These charges correspond with the airport rules and regulations in Public Law Title 40
2	Commonwealt	h Ports Authority, chapter 40-10 airport division, subchapter 40-10.1 airport rules and
3	regulations, par	t 1200 schedule of fees and charges. Specifically, §§ 40-10.1-1205 and 40-10.1-1215.
4	36.	Section 7.01 of the AUA states that these charges are and may be computed on a per-
5	passenger basi	s, but then summarily declares that these charges are not a head tax on persons
6		commerce. See Exhibit "C".
7	37.	Article 7 of the AUA specifies that the charges to Star Marianas will be for PUP, CUP,
8	and NUP, as d	efined in sections 2.01, 2.02, and 2.03 of the AUA. See Exhibit "C".
9	38.	However, the use of NUP, which are areas of the airport available for use by any
11		er, is negligible because these areas are funded in large part by federal grants.
12	39.	-
13		Section 7.07 of the AUA provides that facilities or improvements paid by the use of
14		er governmental grants shall not be included in the costs factors attributed to Star
15	Marianas. See I	Exhibit "C".
16	40.	The costs for NUP are therefore not attributable to Star Marianas pursuant to section
17	7.07 of the AU	JA. See Exhibit "C".
18	41.	For the purpose of assigning and allocating costs, section 7.05 of the AUA states that
19	the Authority	shall utilize generally accepted accounting principles, include only those charges properly
20		the airports that the Authority owns and operates, and apply rates that are reasonable and
21		atory. See Exhibit "C".
22	42.	·
23		Importantly, section 7.05 requires the Authority to annually adjust its rates based on the
24		tual costs of the facility or service that Star Marianas uses. See Exhibit "C".
25	43.	Section 7.08 of the AUA provides the process by which the Authority will arrive at the
26	reasonable an	d non-discriminatory rates to charge Star Marianas for its use of the Airport and

Commuter Terminals.

1	44. Specifically, section 7.08 requires the Authority to mail Star Marianas a copy of the
2	proposed Airport budget for the next fiscal year 45 days prior to final adoption by the Authority. Star
3	Marianas may then submit written comments within 15 days prior to the Authority's final adoption,
4	which the Authority shall give due consideration to. After final adoption, the Authority shall furnish a
5	copy of the adopted Airport budget to Star Marianas. Further, the Authority shall notify Star Marianas
6	near the end of the fiscal year the charges for the ensuing fiscal year. See Exhibit "C".
7 8	45. Should the Authority fail to complete the above procedure, section 7.08 states that Star
9	Marianas shall continue to pay at the previous rate until the Authority completes its computations.
10	Thereafter, the Authority shall adjust the rates accordingly and any difference will be added or deducted
11	from what Star Marianas already paid. See Exhibit "C".
12	46. Section 7.10 of the AUA mandates that the Authority use its best efforts to complete its
13	computations within 120 days from the close of the fiscal year. See Exhibit "C".
14	47. In 2011, Star Marianas entered into a lease agreement with the Authority for the use of
15 16	space at the Rota Commuter Terminal (the "Lease"). A true and correct copy of the Lease is attached
17	hereto as Exhibit "D".
18	48. The reasonable use value of the space for the Lease was set at \$320.00 per month,
19	including the cost of utilities. See Exhibit "D".
20	49. When Star Marianas executed the Lease it only operated cargo services and did not
21	transport any passengers, but Star Marianas later expanded its operations to provide passenger
22	transportation to the Rota Commuter Terminal.
23	
24	, which is a state access to that marianas at the Rota Commuter
25	Terminal, but does so for other airlines, which means Star Marianas must enplane its passengers
26	through the terminal arrival area.
27	C. The Authority miglated the AII A and federal law

The Authority violated the AUA and federal law.

C.

1	51.	Since Star Marianas has been operating as an airline at the Commuter Terminals, the
2	Authority has	never complied with the cost accounting and fee adjustments the AUA requires in section
3	7.08. The Au	thority has never provided Star Marianas with a proposed budget nor any notice of a
4	change in rate	s despite Star Marianas's repeated demands for an accounting of the Authority's charges.
5	52.	Star Marianas has made several requests to the Authority since 2009 for the disclosure
6	of audited acc	counting records mandated by federal regulations and the AUA, but the Authority has
7		ed any financial documentation.
8 9	53.	Star Marianas made these requests because it believed the Authority was assessing it fees
10	that exceeded	the Authority's actual operational costs properly apportioned to Star Marianas.
11	54.	Star Marianas made payments to the Authority under duress because of the Authority's
12	economic pov	wer in the CNMI and conspicuously wrote "under protest" on the payment instruments.
13	55.	Section 7.07 of the AUA provides that any capital costs of the improvements and
14	facilities at th	te Commuter Terminals, or any depreciation thereof, that are paid by federal or other
15		entity grants shall not be included in the calculation of costs to be paid by Star Marianas.
16	See Exhibit "C	
17	56.	
18		Pursuant to section 7.05 of the AUA, the Authority may only take into account its
19	actual costs a	ttributable to Star Marianas when charging a reasonable rate. See Exhibit "C".
20	57.	Specifically, section 7.05 of the AUA provided the following:
21		Authority covenants that for purposes of assigning and allocating costs, it
22		shall utilize generally accepted accounting practices utilized for airports operating as an enterprise fund, and include only those charges
23		properly attributable to the Airport SystemAll rates and charges shall
24		be at reasonable and non-discriminatory rates and adjusted annually based on Authority's cost, as defined in this Agreement, of the facility or service
25		provided to and used by Airline.
26	See Exhibit "	C" (emphasis added).
27		

1	58.	Star Marianas requires the Authority's accounting costs of operating the Commuter
2	Terminals in	order to determine what costs can be apportioned to Star Marianas because the
3	Authority's ra	tes and charges are not transparent.
4	59.	On August 26, 2016, the Authority, by and through its accounting agents Ricondo &
5	Associates, In	ac., served a letter and calculations relating to the Authority's rates and charges from
6	2009-2014. In	this letter, the Authority applied rates up to \$6.95 for the Commuter Terminals. A true
7 8	and correct co	opy of the August 26, 2016 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "E".
9	60.	On July 13, 2017, the Authority provided a letter relating to its rates and charges for
10	2015. In this	letter, the Authority applied rates for the Saipan Commuter Terminal at \$4.75. A true
11	and correct c	opy of the July 13, 2017 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "F".
12	61.	The above specified commuter terminal charges are unlawful because under §40-10.1-
13	1215(a) (2) an	nd (3) commuter terminal fees for Rota and Tinian are capped at \$4.95 and fees for
14 15	Saipan at \$3.3	35. The Authority is permitted to decrease the above described fees by §40-10.1-1215(c)
15 16	but not to ex	ceed the ceiling amounts.
17	62.	The Authority has provided no description or analysis relating to these charges, which
18	are higher th	an the charges permitted in the AUA and ARR.
19	63.	Upon information and belief, the Authority charged an arbitrary rate under a
20	commercial o	compensatory method resulting in the highest rates unrelated to the Authority's actual
21	expenses.	
22	64.	Upon information and belief, the Authority charged Star Marianas for amounts not
23	related to its	actual operational costs for the services it provided to Star Marianas and it charged for
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		are funded by federal grants.
26	65.	The Authority has engaged in practices over the past few years of making
27	unreasonabl	e charges and not collecting charges relating to its actual costs.
		o to actual costs.

66. On April 29, 2016, the acting director for the office of airport compliance and
management analysis for the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") found the Authority is
violation of its federal obligations regarding the application of liability insurance requirements fo
general aviation operators at the Airport. Specifically, the Authority charged an arbitrary amount to
all aviation operators at the Airport without any basis for doing so.
67. On October 4, 2016, an independent auditor reviewed the Authority's complianc

- 67. On October 4, 2016, an independent auditor reviewed the Authority's compliance with its passenger facility charge program, which permits the Authority to charge a rate per passengers for FAA-approved projects. The audit revealed that the Authority charged rates for FAA-approved projects it never commenced, for projects the FAA never approved, and failed to properly report its expenditures and revenues.
- 68. The foregoing evidences the Authority's repeated failure to properly charge airlines at the Airport/Commuter Terminals and its continuous refusal to provide any analysis into its own accounting.
- 69. Further, the Authority is not permitted under the AHTA to assess an arbitrary fee based solely on the number of Star Marianas's passengers. Rather, the Authority's charges must bear a reasonable relationship with its actual operational costs.
- 70. For example, when Star Marianas expanded its operation at the Rota Commuter Terminal to include passenger transportation, the Authority demanded that Star Marianas pay additional user fees of \$4.95 per passenger for the same space in the Lease. The charge amount based solely on the number of Star Marianas's passengers averaged an additional \$3,200.00 per month—more than 10 times greater than the amount specified in the Lease.
- 71. The Authority knowingly and willfully violated the AUA and federal law when it failed to provide an accounting of its operational costs to Star Marianas and charged an arbitrary perpassenger rate not related to the operational costs for the Commuter Terminals.

1	72.	The Authority's improper actions damaged Star Marianas because it charged Star
2	Marianas with	unreasonable and excessive fees not permitted under the AUA or federal law.
3		
4		COUNT I
5		BREACH OF CONTRACT
6	73.	Star Marianas re-alleges and reincorporates paragraphs 1 through 72 as if fully set
7	forth herein.	·
8	74.	The AUA is a valid and binding agreement between the parties.
9	75.	Star Marianas made numerous payments to the Authority pursuant to the AUA.
10	77	
11	76.	For example, Star Marianas paid \$325,706.00 to the Authority under the charges in
12	the AUA and	the ARR for the use of the Saipan and Tinian Commuter Terminals in 2015.
13	77.	However, the Authority has failed to comply with the AUA's requirements in Article
14	7 relating to a	ccounting and apportioning its charges to Star Marianas.
15	78.	Specifically, the Authority has never provided Star Marianas a copy of its proposed
16	annual budget	
17	79.	Based on the forecoing Star Mariana I
18		Based on the foregoing, Star Marianas has never had the opportunity to submit
19	comments for	consideration as contemplated by the AUA.
20	80.	Further, the Authority has never annually adjusted the fees it charged to Star Marianas
21	in order to rec	over its legitimate operational costs. Rather, it continuously charged an arbitrary amount
22		as a head tax unrelated to the Authority's operational costs.
23	81.	Star Marianas made several demands to the Authority for production of the audited cost
24	recovery recor	rds, but the Authority has not complied.
25		
26	82.	The Authority continues to breach the AUA by not providing its operational cost
27	financial infor	mation and by continuing to charge a head tax per passenger instead of a reasonable rate
28	related to its o	pperational costs.

1	83.	The \$325,706.00 amount from 2015, when divided to a monthly rate, approximately
2	results in a n	nonthly charge in excess of \$27,000.00. This evidences the Authority's rates are
3	unreasonable	because that amount per month is excessive for the amount of space Star Marianas uses
4	at the Saipan a	and Tinian Commuter Terminals, which are 344 and 233 square feet, respectively.
5	84.	The Authority's breach damaged Star Marianas in an amount to be determined at trial
6	by charging S	tar Marianas fees that exceeded those permissible in the AUA; namely, charges based
7 8		enger basis that related to costs for areas paid by federal grants and that exceeded the
9		ctual costs that may be properly apportioned to Star Marianas as operational costs.
10	85.	Based on its own accounting records, Star Marianas estimates that it paid excessive
11	Commuter Te	erminal fees greater than the reasonable fees that the Authority should have properly
12		andreds of thousands of dollars.
13		REFORE, Star Marianas respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its
14	favor and against the Authority awarding damages that Star Marianas sustained in amounts to be	
15		
16		l, any and all other damages to which Star Marianas may be entitled under applicable
17		ment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, attorney's fees and costs in this
18	action pursua	ant to section 14.18 of the AUA, and award all other relief this Court deems just,
19	equitable, and	l proper.
20		COUNT II
21		VIOLATION OF THE AHTA
22	86.	Star Marianas re-alleges and reincorporates paragraphs 1 through 72 as if fully set forth
23	herein.	
24	87.	The AHTA provides that a local authority is not permitted to charge a fee based on an
25	individual trav	veling in air commerce.
26	88.	
27		The Authority imposes a fee that is not related to the recovery of the adjusted annual

cost of the Authority for operations of the Commuter Terminals attributable to Star Marianas.

1	89.	Instead, the Authority assesses user fees solely based on the number of Star Marianas	
2	passengers that it enplaned at the Commuter Terminals.		
3	90.	This results in user fees to Star Marianas that do not bear any relationship to the	
4	Authority's operational expenses.		
5	91.	Because the rates that the Authority charged to Star Marianas are not based on its costs	
6	of operations or services, but solely on the number of Star Marianas's passengers, this methodology is a		
7 8	head tax in violation of the AHTA.		
9	92.	The language of the AUA in section 7.01 stating that the Authority's fees shall not be	
10	considered a h		
11	considered a head tax is inapplicable because the rate charged per person is on its face a head tax and		
	the Authority cannot contract away its violation of federal law.		
12	93.	The Authority knowingly violated the AHTA because it did not impose additional	
13	charges to Star Marianas for the space in the Lease until Star Marianas expanded its operations to begin		
14	transporting passengers and these additional charges are based on a per-passenger rate.		
15	94.	The disparity between the \$302.00 rent per month in the lease and the \$3,200.00	
16	OTTOHOGO HOU		
17	average per month for charges relating to Star Marianas's passengers, evidences that the fees the		
18	Authority charges are not based on the proportional recovery of its costs, but purely on the number of		
19	passengers in violation of the AHTA and other federal law.		
20	95.	Based on the number of years that the Authority has improperly charged a head tax,	
21			
22	Star Marianas has suffered damages for these unreasonable user fees, the exact amount of which will be		
23	determined at trial.		
24	WHEI	REFORE, Star Marianas respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its	
25	favor and against the Authority awarding damages that Star Marianas sustained in amounts to be		
26	proven at trial, any and all other damages to which Star Marianas may be entitled under applicable		
27	///	, and and applicable	
28			

## Case 1:17-cv-00012 Document 2 Filed 10/27/17 Page 14 of 14

1	law, and award an other rener this Court deems just, equitable, and proper		
2			
3		TIMOTHY H. BELLAS	
4		ATTORNEY AT LAW	
5			
6	Dated this 27th day of October, 2017.	/s/ Timothy H. Bellas Timothy H. Bellas, F-0135 Attorney for Plaintiff	
7		Attorney for Plaintiff	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
20			